

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/043,396	01/10/2002	· Angela M. Petroskey	AMP 0101 PUS	4654
75	590 01/18/2005		EXAM	INER
Artz & Artz, P.C.			ARYANPOUR, MITRA	
Ste. 250 28333 Telegraph Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Southfield, MI 48304			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/043,396	PETROSKEY, ANGELA M.			
Advisory Audon	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711			
Th MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		·			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);			
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		·			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-18</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:					
		MITHA ARYANPOUR PRIMARY EXAMINER			
		I I			

Application/Control Number: 10/043,396

Art Unit: 3711

Continuation Sheet:

As indicated in the Final Office Action, the subject matter in claim 11, raises new matter. Additionally, the amendment to the Specification also raises new issues. Applicant's assertions that the figures inherently disclose that deforming the top portion of the training device decreases the amount of torque applied to the user's wrist . . . The aforementioned physical properties are not inherently disclosed in the figures as applicant appears to be asserting.

Additionally, lines 4, 5, 12 and 13 raise new issue in claim 1; lines 7 and 9 raise new issues in claim 11 and lines 7 and 10 raise new issues in claim 18.

Page 2